

## Network connectivity – Base Station and mobiles at UHF frequencies – scenario 4a

Baseline obstruction version (flat terrain <1m undulations, minimal buildings, no significant vegetation – forest/jungle)


All units using basic radios – Base station has better (higher power P(Tx), better sensitivity S(Rx)) than mobiles

### More challenging radio environment

Environmental noise > receiver sensitivity S(Rx), using ‘urban’ radio noise levels.

- Scenario 2 examined adding inter-mobile links (green) to the ‘centralised – duplex’ base station to mobile company links, to give a ‘full’ net. The rise in connectivity was not large due to the wide spacing of the mobiles but the ‘centralised – duplex’ sub-net is very strongly connected.

- A ‘full’ net is shown here as a baseline for examining the effect of a higher noise floor.



## Network connectivity – Base Station and mobiles at UHF frequencies – scenario 4b

Baseline obstruction version (flat terrain <1m undulations, minimal buildings, no significant vegetation – forest/jungle)

All units using basic radios – Base station has better (higher power P(Tx), better sensitivity S(Rx)) than mobiles

### More challenging radio environment

Environmental noise > receiver sensitivity S(Rx), using ‘urban’ radio noise levels.

- At 1 GHz the ‘urban’ noise level is -105 dBm for a typical 200kHz bandwidth, higher than the receiver sensitivity for the base station (-116 dBm) and mobiles (-112 dBm). Hence the signal to noise ratio (SNR) and connectivity will be reduced.

- The higher noise floor cuts all the mobile to mobile links which were already weak with low SNR margins.

- The base station to mobile links are only reduced by a couple of percent as the high Tx power of 20W helps somewhat to overcome the higher noise background at the mobile Rx.

- However, as the noise floor is higher than the receiver sensitivity of the base station, and the mobile Tx powers are relatively low (2W), the mobile to base station links are weakened more than the base to mobile links. The very sensitive base station Rx does not help offset the low mobile Tx power as it did in the low noise environment.

- The longest path from mobile-2 to the base station has connectivity reduced to 0.76, useable for analogue voice but low quality.

- If the noise floor were to rise further, the weakest connection in the ‘centralised-duplex’ base-mobile sub-net from mobile-2 to the base station would be severed if the noise floor was only 7dB above the ‘urban’ level.

- If the noise floor was to rise to 26dB above the ‘urban’ level than even the strongest link, base to mobile-1, would be severed.

6.4 km, C = 0.0  
mobile-1 and mobile-2

8.8 km  
C = 0.76 mobile-2 to base  
C = 0.96 base to mobile-2

6.4 km, C = 0.0  
mobile-2 and mobile-3

9.8 km, C = 0.0  
mobile-1 and mobile-3

4.6 km,  
C = 0.98 mobile-1 to base  
C = 1.0 base to mobile-1

7.2 km  
C = 0.89 mobile-3 to base  
C = 0.98 base to mobile-3

**Base Station**  
Power P(Tx) = 20 W  
frequency = 1 GHz  
G(Tx) = 0 dB (omnidirectional antenna gain)  
height (Tx) = 10m  
Sensitivity S(Rx) = -116 dBm

**mobiles**  
Power P(Tx) = 2W  
height (Rx) = 2m  
frequency = 1 GHz  
G(Rx) = 0 (omnidirectional antenna gain)  
S(Rx) = -112 dBm (receiver sensitivity)

Scale: 4 km

#### Network Connectivity modified by increased noise floor

- For the ‘centralised - duplex’ (between mobiles and base station) sub-net the connectivity is 5.57 (reduced from 5.97) across the 6 links (92.8%, down from 99.5%). So this sub-net is still viable, robust against the noise floor rising, losing only ~8% of its connectivity.

- For the ‘full’ net the connectivity is also 5.57 (reduced from 8.65) across the 12 links (46.4%, down from 72.1%), due to the connectivity being lost between all pairs of mobiles, so that sub-net has a connectivity of zero.

- Across the ‘full’ net of 12 links, the ‘centralised - duplex’ sub-net of 6 links makes up 100 % of the connectivity.

